



# Assessment of Urban Aerial Taxi with Cryogenic Components under Design Environment for Novel Vertical Lift Vehicles (DELIVER)

Christopher.A.Snyder@nasa.gov  
Propulsion Systems Analysis Branch  
NASA John H. Glenn Research Center

ATIO.TFPC-04. Transformational Aircraft Technologies  
AIAA Aviation 2017 Forum  
Denver, Colorado  
June 6, 2017



# Motivation

---

DELIVER vision: “Can we bring 100 years of aeronautics knowledge to the new entrepreneurs’ desktop with a design environment for emerging vertical lift vehicles?”

Present tools and methods do a pretty good job for today’s vehicles and missions. However, there is increasing interest in new classes / types of vertical-lift vehicles, improving mobility and overall utility / flexibility. Advanced electric propulsion and power systems offer the potential for reduced noise and point of use emissions, enhanced vehicle and mission capability, etc.

Assess the capabilities of methods and tools to capture these new vehicles and propulsion / power technologies.



# Outline



---

- Introduce baseline vehicle and hybrid systems studied
- Review propulsion and energy systems
- Vehicle sizing and mission analysis tool
- Genset sizing and mission profiles
- Results
  - Mission power levels
  - Maximum mission range and number of on-demand mobility (ODM) missions, also recharge / refuel
  - Thermal loads, cooling airflow requirements
- Summary
- *Next Steps*

# Baseline vehicle and propulsion





Urban aerial taxi (VTOL-ops)  
450 lb. of passengers / luggage / cargo  
+ 200 lb. pilot





## Baseline propulsion system

# Baseline vehicle and hybrid propulsion



## Hybrid propulsion system

# Baseline vehicle and LNG hybrid propulsion



## Liquid Natural Gas (LNG) Hybrid propulsion system



## Impressive weight and loss reductions for Electric Motor / Electronics are occurring

Technology year	Power/weight, hp/lb. (kW/kg)	Electric motor $\eta$ , %	Power Electronics $\eta$ , %	Net $\eta$ , %	Net Loss, %
State of the art	1.9 (3.1)	90	94	85	15
15 year	3.4 (5.6)	95	98	93	7
30 year	4.9 (8.0)	98	99	97	3
<b>Non-cryogenic</b> <i>Power-to-weight includes electric motor (3,8, 16 hp/lb.) + power electronics (5,6,7 hp/lb.)</i>					

*“Some 15 year” goals are being demonstrated today*

**Reduced losses = less thermal management (less weight)**

\* Dever, T.P.; Duffy, K.P.; Provenza, A.J.; Loyselle, P.L.; Choi, B.B.; Morrison, C.R.; and Lowe, A.M.  
 “Assessment of Technologies for Noncryogenic Hybrid Electric Propulsion”,  
 NASA TP-2015-216588, January 2015.



Electric motors significantly better than fueled systems in  
efficiency and power to weight  
BUT efficiency doesn't overcome deficiencies in energy  
storage (critical for range / endurance)


Engine type	Power / weight, hp/lb. (kW/kg)	$\eta$ , %	Fuel, energy density, MJ/kg (Wh/kg)	Net energy density, MJ/kg (Wh/kg)
all-electric, SOA	1.9 (3.1)	85	0.70 (194)	0.60 (165)
<b>15 year</b>	<b>3.4 (5.6)</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>1.75 (486)</b>	<b>1.63 (450)</b>
30 year	4.9 (8.0)	97	3.15 (875)	3.06 (850)
Diesel cycle, SOA	0.53 (0.9)	<b>37</b>	<b>Diesel, 43.0 (12,000)</b>	<b>15.9 (4,400)</b>
<b>15 year</b>	<b>1.06 (1.8)</b>			
30 year	1.59 (2.7)			

For electric systems, "Fuel" is lithium battery, cell only average of lithium ion and sulfur technologies  
Electric system power to weight for electric motor reported at 3, 8, and 16 hp/lb. and power electronics  
at 5, 6, and 7 hp/lb. for state of the art (SOA), 15 and 30 year technology assumptions (from Dever).


***Only 15 year technology levels reported for this study***



# Analysis Tool: NASA Design and Analysis of Rotorcraft (NDARC)




Overall Program Layout



Propulsion / energy models




# Aircraft / Genset Power and Mission Profile



Fueled genset sized at 150,  
175, and 200 hp  
(engine hp, not generated  
electric power)

*Vehicle sizing (150 nmi)  
maximum range and  
ODM (20 or 50 nmi)  
mission profile*



ODM = on demand mobility  
OGE = out of ground effect




# Results

# Power requirements over the mission

Max power requirement set by hover

Cruise power levels significantly less

*Climb power level can be lower  
(only limited to hover power)*





# Vehicle Parameters

Vehicle → Parameter ↓	All-Electric Baseline	150 hp conventional cooled hybrid	200 hp cryo- cooling assisted hybrid
Design gross weight (DGW), lb. (kg)	3,676 (1,671)	3,678 (1,672)	3,673 (1,669)
Empty weight, lb. (kg)	3,021 (1,373)	2,813 (1,279)	2,788 (1,267)
Nominal fuel weight, lb. (kg), % DGW	0	210 (95), 6%	230 (105), 6%
Battery + BMS weight, lb. (kg), % DGW	919 (418), 25%	498 (226), 13.5%	437 (199), 12%
Genset Weight, lb. (kg), % DGW	0	211 (96), 6%	256 (116), 7%
Fuel volume, gallon, (l)	0	30.7 (116)	89.1 (337)
Battery volume, gallon, (l)	80.4 (304)	43.6 (165)	38.3 (145)
Fuel Energy, MJ	0	4,096	4,695
Battery energy, MJ	609	330	290

- 15 year technology: Genset size+fuel trades well for weight and energy versus battery (1.75 MJ/kg, 486 w-h/kg)
- Will have to check LNG fuel tank volume



# Hybrid system significantly improves max range

Vehicle→	Baseline	Conventionally-cooled hybrid			Cryogenically cooled hybrid		
		150 hp	175 hp	200 hp	150 hp	175 hp	200 hp
Maximum range missions							
All $V_{br}$ , nmi	150	298*	460*	496	378*	530*	580
Mix of $V_{br}$ and $V_{be}$ , nmi	122 (all $V_{be}$ )	470	492		554	575	

\* Battery energy limited range

Hybrid systems increased max range by 2 to 4 times  
(hydrocarbon energy density)

Larger genset improved range (reduced battery weight,  
more fuel, more mission at best range speed)



# Hybrid system enables more ODM missions (before recharge / refuel)

Vehicle→	Base line	Conventionally-cooled hybrid			Cryogenically cooled hybrid		
		150 hp	175 hp	200 hp	150 hp	175 hp	200 hp
Multiple ODM missions							
Number of 20 nmi missions (17 min.)	3	6.5	6.9	7.3	7.8	8	8
Hold time, minutes †	7 ‡	30	21	15	27	18.5	13
Number of 50 nmi missions (28 min.)	2	4	4.6	4.9	5.3	5.6	7
Hold time, minutes †	10 ‡	36	22	15	31	19	12

† Time on ground between ODM missions to self-recharge battery to full


‡ No self-recharge capability, 3C / 500 kW charger required (cooling?)

Hybrid systems significantly increased number of possible ODM missions before recharge / refuel.

Hybrid genset self-recharge takes longer than “fastest” electric recharge



# Thermal requirements (heat load, kw)



Hybrid genset thermal loads dominate (where present)

Battery thermal loads similar over different configurations


Power electronics load fairly minimal, cryo cooling reduces it further





# Thermal requirements (alt=0, ISA and alt=5k-ft, ISA+20°C/36°F)

Hover cooling airflow, ft<sup>3</sup>/minute



5k-ft altitude, hot day increases volumetric airflow rate


Genset cooling flows still dominate

Greater airflow increase for battery, lower maximum use temperature

Climb results similar (similar power levels, compensating effects of temperature and density)



# Thermal requirements (heat load, kw)



Hybrid genset thermal loads dominate (where present)


Battery thermal load is  $\propto I^2R$ , drops by order of magnitude from hover / climb

Battery and power electronic thermal loads fairly minimal



# Thermal requirements (alt=0, ISA and alt=5k-ft, ISA+20°C/36°F)

Cruise cooling airflow, ft<sup>3</sup>/minute)



5k-ft altitude gain and hot day increases volumetric airflow rate

Genset cooling flow still dominates, minimal change from hover / climb results because genset operation at constant power (turbocharged diesel)

Battery airflow change similar to previous, but much smaller totals (battery discharge rate is < 1C), order of magnitude smaller than hover / climb



# Summary

---

- Hybrid systems with energy-dense hydrocarbon fuels can significantly increase maximum range or number of shorter range missions vehicle can achieve. (Genset size, figure of merit?)
- Vehicles with hybrid system can self-recharge battery, but requires more time than all-electric (infrastructure)
- LNG cryo-cooling improves performance (even before further design efforts for weight, volume, drag of cooling systems - TBD)
- Hybrid systems generate a lot of waste heat that must be removed
- Battery and power electronics heat seems to be hover / climb dominated. Although fast charging is another high-heat condition. (Active cooling or design / infrastructure consideration.)
- NDARC design tools appears capable of modeling vehicle, mission and systems, although need to develop propulsion and power systems models outside of NDARC.



# Next Steps

---

- Perform more detailed layout / analysis for conventionally and LNG cryo-cooled gensets.
  - Check if bulk sizing parameters capture real system considerations (more / how detailed)
  - Develop validated models (although data may be lacking)
  - Document process, methods, etc. for others to use (and build upon). DELIVER ends with FY17, unsure who might pick up work, Revolutionary Vertical Lift Technology project?
  - Other cycles? (Fuel cells, recuperative gas turbines, ?)
- Further tool development
  - Methods / tools to automate the process
  - Include in NDARC directly or through new OpenMDAO framework (also in development)



# Acknowledgments

---

This work was supported by the Aeronautics Research  
Mission Directorate (ARMD)

Transformative Aeronautics Concepts Program  
(TACP) / Convergent Aeronautics Solutions (CAS)  
Project / Design Environment for Novel Vertical Lift  
Vehicles (DELIVER) Sub-Project.

Advanced Air Vehicle Program (AAVP) / Revolutionary  
Vertical Lift Technology (RVLT) Project



*Questions?*








# *Backup Slides*



# Vehicle Parameters

Vehicle → Parameter ↓	All-Electric Baseline	150 hp conventional cooled hybrid	200 hp cryo- cooling assisted hybrid
Design gross weight (DGW), lb. (kg)	3,676 (1,671)	3,678 (1,672)	3,673 (1,669)
Disk loading / wing loading, lb./ft <sup>2</sup>	10 / 50	10 / 50	10 / 50
Empty weight, lb. (kg)	3,021 (1,373)	2,813 (1,279)	2,788 (1,267)
Nominal fuel weight, lb. (kg), %DGW	0	210 (95), 6%	230 (105), 6%
Battery+BMS weight, lb. (kg), %DGW	919 (418), 25%	498 (226), 13.5%	437 (199), 12%
Genset Weight, lb. (kg), %DGW	0	211 (96), 6%	256 (116), 7%
Fuel volume, gallon, (l)	0	30.7 (116)	89.1 (337)
Battery volume, gallon, (l)	80.4 (304)	43.6 (165)	38.3 (145)
Fuel Energy, MJ	0	4,096	4,695
Battery energy, MJ	609	330	290
Sea level max rated power, hp (kW)	578 (431)	578 (431)	578 (431)
Propulsion engines and power electronics weight, lb. (kg), %DGW	307.3 (140), 8%	310 (141), 8%	312 (141), 8%

# Rotor area variation → hover efficiency / effectiveness (& hover power)





*END*